

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
2 Attorney General of California  
3 MARC D. GREENBAUM  
4 Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
5 ZACHARY T. FANSELOW  
6 Deputy Attorney General  
State Bar No. 274129  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-2562  
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

BEFORE THE  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  
12 **BASHAM FUNERAL CARE**  
13 **Golden State Funeral Care – Corporate Owner**  
14 **John L. Basham – President and Manager**  
**James Larkin – Secretary and Treasurer**  
**3312 Niles Street**  
**Bakersfield, CA 93306**

Case No. A1 2014 50

## ACCUSATION

15 Funeral Establishment License No. FD 1708  
16 JOHN L. BASHAM  
3312 Niles Street  
17 Bakersfield, CA 93306

18 | Funeral Director License No. FDR 453

### Respondents.

21 Complainant alleges:

## PARTIES

23 1. Lisa M. Moore (“Complainant”) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity  
24 as the Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs.<sup>1</sup>

1       2. On or about June 15, 2000, the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau ("Bureau") issued  
2 Funeral Establishment License Number FD 1708 to Basham Funeral Care. Since June 18, 2014,  
3 Basham Funeral care has consisted of Golden State Funeral Care as the Corporate Owner, John L.  
4 Basham as the president and manager, and James Larkin as the Secretary and Treasurer  
5 ("Respondent Basham Funeral Care.") The Funeral Establishment License was in full force and  
6 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2015, unless  
7 renewed.

8       3. On or about March 14, 1997, the Bureau issued Funeral Director License Number  
9 FDR 453 to John L. Basham (“Respondent John Basham”). The Funeral Director License was in  
10 full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31,  
11 2016, unless renewed.

## **JURISDICTION**

13       4. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") for  
14 the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section  
15 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

16       5. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or  
17 cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary  
18 action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

19 6. Section 477 states:

20 As used in this division:

23                             "(b) 'License' includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a  
24                             business or profession regulated by this code."

25       7. Section 7686 states, in pertinent part, that the bureau may suspend or revoke licenses,  
26 after proper notice and hearing to the licensee, if the licensee has been found guilty by the bureau  
27 of any of the acts or omissions constituting grounds for disciplinary action. The proceedings under

1 this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the  
2 Government Code, 1 and the bureau shall have all the powers granted therein.

3 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

4 8. Section 7692 states: "Misrepresentation or fraud in the conduct of the business or the  
5 profession of a funeral director or embalmer constitutes a ground for disciplinary action."

6 9. Section 7703 states: "Violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of the rules  
7 and regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter constitutes a ground for disciplinary action."

8 10. Section 7707 states: "Gross negligence, gross incompetence or unprofessional conduct  
9 in the practice of funeral directing or embalming constitutes a ground for disciplinary action."

10 11. Health and Safety Code section 103775 states:

11 " (a) Every person, except a parent informant for a certificate of live birth and as provided in  
12 subdivision (b), who is responsible for supplying information who refuses or fails to furnish  
13 correctly any information in his or her possession that is required by this part, or furnishes false  
14 information affecting any certificate or record required by this part, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

15 " (b) Every licensee or registrant pursuant to Chapter 12 (commencing with Section 7600) or  
16 Chapter 19 (commencing with Section 9600) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code,  
17 and the agents and employees of the licensee, or any unlicensed person acting in a capacity in  
18 which a license from the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau is required, who is responsible for  
19 supplying information and who refuses or fails to furnish correctly any information in his or her  
20 possession that is required by this part, or furnishes false information with intent to defraud  
21 affecting a death certificate or record required by this part, is guilty of a misdemeanor that shall be  
22 punishable by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, by a fine not exceeding ten  
23 thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by both that imprisonment and fine."

24 12. Health and Safety Code section 103780 states:

25 " (a) Every person, except as provided in subdivision (b), who willfully alters or knowingly  
26 possesses more than one altered document, other than as permitted by this part, or falsifies any  
27 certificate of birth, fetal death, or death, or marriage license, or any record established by this part  
28 is guilty of a misdemeanor.

1       “(b) Every licensee or registrant pursuant to Chapter 12 (commencing with Section 7600) or  
2       Chapter 19 (commencing with Section 9600) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code,  
3       and the agents and employees of the licensee, or any unlicensed person acting in a capacity in  
4       which a license from the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau is required, who willfully alters or  
5       knowingly possesses more than one altered document, other than as permitted by this part, or  
6       falsifies any certificate of death, is guilty of a misdemeanor that shall be punishable by  
7       imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars  
8       (\$10,000), or by both that imprisonment and fine.”

## **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1204 states, in pertinent part:

11       “(a) Any person, association, partnership, corporation or other organization licensed and  
12 conducting business as a funeral establishment shall designate a licensed funeral director to manage  
13 the establishment, and shall report the designation to the bureau within ten (10) days of the  
14 effective date of the designation.

15        "(b) The designated managing licensed funeral director of a licensed funeral establishment  
16 shall be responsible for exercising such direct supervision and control over the conduct of said  
17 funeral establishment as is necessary to ensure full compliance with the Funeral Directors and  
18 Embalmers Law, the provisions of this chapter and the applicable provisions of the Health and  
19 Safety Code. Failure of the designated managing licensed funeral director and/or the licensed  
20 funeral establishment to exercise such supervision or control, or failure of the holder of the funeral  
21 establishment license to make such designation shall constitute a ground for disciplinary action."

## COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the  
15 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of  
16 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and  
17 enforcement of the case.

27 | //

28 | //

## SALINAS COMPLAINT

2       15. On or about February 10, 2014, the Bureau received a complaint from M. Salinas, the  
3       Registrar for the Kern County Health Department, alleging that Respondent Basham Funeral Care  
4       was forging attending physician signatures on death certificates and that Respondent Basham  
5       Funeral Care would fax the forged attestation to Respondent Basham Funeral Care's fax number  
6       instead of to the Electronic Death Registration System ("EDRS") fax number (the "Salinas  
7       Complaint.") Faxing the death certificate through the EDRS is required to file the death  
8       certificates with the Kern County Health Department. By faxing the document through  
9       Respondent Basham Funeral Care's own fax number, the EDRS death certificate attestation  
10      registration requirement was being circumvented.

11       16. On or about March 13, 2014, Field Representative Steve Allen met with Dr. Jennifer  
12 Black, who reviewed a signature on a death certificate attestation with her name on it and  
13 confirmed that she did not sign the attestation.

14        17. On or about May 2, 2014, Field Representative Allen received a sixteen (16) page  
15 report from EDRS showing that between May 2006 and April 2014, approximately 600 death  
16 certificate attestations were faxed from Respondent Basham Funeral Care's fax number, to  
17 Respondent Basham Funeral Care's same, own, fax number as opposed to the EDRS fax number.  
18 The report also showed many of the death certificate attestations had an unusually short  
19 turnaround time between when the attestation was requested and the attestation was completed.

18. On or about May 7, 2014, Field Representative Allen spoke with C.W., an employee  
19 of Respondent Basham Funeral Care. C.W. admitted that she was told by Respondent Basham to  
20 release her EDRS username and password to unauthorized and untrained employees because  
21 Respondent Basham did not want to give other employees time off to attend training. On or about  
22 May 14, 2014, C.W. stated that Respondent Basham had told her on multiple occasions "I don't  
23 care what you need to do, just get it done."

26       19. On or about May 7, 2014, Field Representative Allen spoke with V.G., an employee of  
27 Respondent Basham Funeral Care. V.G. admitted that she had given her EDRS user name and  
28 password to C.W., in contravention of EDRS policy.

1           20. That same day, Field Representative Allen also spoke with Respondent Basham.  
2           Respondent Basham stated that C.W. was the one who forged the death certificate attestations.

3           21. Through the investigation spanning from May 9, 2014, through June 19, 2014, Field  
4           Representative Allen confirmed with eleven different doctors that they had not signed the death  
5           certificate attestations bearing their names that were prepared by Respondent Basham Funeral  
6           Care.

7           **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8           **(Unprofessional Conduct: Alter or Possess Altered Death Certificate)**

9           22. Respondent Basham Funeral Care and Respondent John Basham (collectively,  
10           "Respondents") are subject to disciplinary action under section 7707 in conjunction with Health  
11           and Safety Code section 103780, subdivision (b), in that Respondents altered death certificates or  
12           knowingly possessed altered death certificates. The circumstances are described in additional  
13           detail in paragraphs 15 through 21, inclusive, which are hereby incorporated by reference as  
14           though set forth fully.

15           **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16           **(Unprofessional Conduct: Furnishing False Information Affecting a Death Certificate)**

17           23. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 7707 in conjunction with  
18           Health and Safety Code section 103775, subdivision (b), in that Respondents furnished false  
19           information affecting death certificates. Respondents possessed death certificates, then altered  
20           those death certificates by forging physician attestation signatures on the death certificates. The  
21           altered death certificates, and attached applications and permits for disposition of human remains,  
22           were furnished and transmitted to the Kern County Health Department. The circumstances are  
23           described in additional detail in paragraphs 15 through 21, inclusive, which are hereby  
24           incorporated by reference as though set forth fully.

25           **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

26           **(Unprofessional Conduct: Sharing EDRS User Name and Password)**

27           24. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 7707 in that employees of  
28           Basham Funeral Care shared their EDRS user names and passwords in contravention of EDRS

1 procedure. Basham Funeral Care employee C.W. admitted that she was told by Respondent  
2 Basham to give her EDRS username and password to unauthorized and untrained employees.  
3 Basham Funeral Care employee V.G. also admitted that she gave her EDRS user name and  
4 password to C.W., in contravention of EDRS policy. The circumstances are described in  
5 additional detail in paragraphs 17 through 19, inclusive, which are hereby incorporated by  
6 reference as though set forth fully.

7 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Misrepresentation or Fraud)**

9 25. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 7692 in that Respondents'  
10 actions represent misrepresentation or fraud in the conduct of operating a funeral establishment  
11 and acting as a funeral director. Respondents altered death certificates by forging physician  
12 attestation signatures, Respondents then faxed the false attestations to their own fax number  
13 instead of the EDRS fax number, thus not legally registering the death certificate, and Respondents  
14 then transmitted the altered death certificates and attached applications and permits for disposition  
15 of human remains to the Kern County Health Department. The circumstances are described in  
16 additional detail in paragraphs 15 through 21, inclusive, which are hereby incorporated by  
17 reference as though set forth fully.

18 **FERNANDEZ AND GONZALEZ COMPLAINTS**

19 26. On or about July 29, 2014, the Bureau received a complaint from C. Fernandez,  
20 alleging that Respondent Basham Funeral Care scheduled funeral services for R.A. but failed to  
21 have the permit for interment completed so that R.A. could be buried on the same day as the  
22 service. Funeral services for R.A. were scheduled for May 28, 2014, with a two and a half hour  
23 viewing held at Respondent Basham Funeral Care. The interment was then scheduled to follow  
24 the viewing the same day at National Cemetery. When R.A.'s family arrived at National Cemetery,  
25 they were informed that the interment could not occur because Respondent Basham Funeral Care  
26 had not obtained a burial or disposition permit. R.A. was later buried on June 4, 2014.

27 27. On or about August 5, 2014, the Bureau received a complaint from M. Gonzalez,  
28 alleging that Respondent Basham Funeral Care scheduled funeral services for M.L.G. but failed to

1 have the permit for interment completed prior to the scheduled interment for M.L.G. Respondent  
2 Basham Funeral Care was able to procure the necessary permit the same day of the scheduled  
3 service, but was so late that approximately seventy-five percent of M.L.G.'s family had left before  
4 the interment could actually take place much later the same day.

5       28. On or about January 15, 2015, Field Representative Daniel Redmond met with  
6 Respondent John Basham. Respondent John Basham stated that the interment delays for R.A. and  
7 M.L.G. were the Kern County Health Department's fault because Kern County was requiring that  
8 Respondent John Basham hand file death certificates and disposition permits due to the Salinas  
9 Complaint. Respondent John Basham stated that after he filed the documents, the Kern County  
10 Health Department was making him wait seven business days before they would issue the  
11 certificates and permits.

12        29. On or about February 3, 2015, Field Representative Daniel Redmond spoke with  
13        Denise Smith from the Kern County Health Department regarding the Fernandez Complaint. Ms.  
14        Smith confirmed that Respondent Basham Funeral Care was placed on a restriction due to the  
15        Salinas Complaint. Ms. Smith further indicated that the county needed seven days to process  
16        Respondent Basham Funeral Care's paperwork so that Kern County could verify whether the  
17        information submitted by Respondent Basham Funeral Care was accurate in order to protect the  
18        public.

## **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

### **(Unprofessional Conduct)**

21       30. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 7707 for unprofessional  
22 conduct in that Respondents scheduled funeral services in conjunction with interment services, or  
23 allowed interment services to be scheduled, even though Respondents knew or reasonably should  
24 have known that the Kern County Health Department had placed Respondent Basham Funeral  
25 Care on a restriction such that Respondents would not be able acquire the necessary disposition  
26 permits in time for the originally scheduled interments of R.A. and M.L.G. The circumstances are  
27 described in additional detail in paragraphs 26 through 29, inclusive, which are hereby  
28 incorporated by reference as though set forth fully.

## **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

### **(Failure to Supervise)**

3       31. Respondent John Basham is subject to disciplinary action under section 7703 and  
4       California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1204, in that Respondent John Basham failed to  
5       ensure that Respondent Basham Funeral Care was in full compliance with the Funeral Directors  
6       and Embalmers Law, when Respondent Basham Funeral Care was not, for the reasons identified in  
7       paragraphs 22 through 25 and paragraph 30. Paragraphs 22 through 25, inclusive, and paragraph  
8       30 are hereby incorporated by reference as though set forth fully.

## PRAYER

10 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  
11 and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

12        1. Revoking or suspending Funeral Establishment License Number FD 1708, issued to  
13 Basham Funeral Care with John L. Basham as the president and manager, and James Larkin as the  
14 Secretary and Treasurer;

15           2. Revoking or suspending Funeral Director License Number FDR 453, issued to John L.  
16           Basham;

17       3.     Ordering Basham Funeral Care and John L. Basham to pay the Cemetery and Funeral  
18     Bureau the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business  
19     and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

4 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: April 9, 2015

Lisa M. Moore  
LISA M. MOORE

LISA M. MOORE  
Bureau Chief  
Cemetery and Funeral Bureau  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
*Complainant*

LA2014513171 / 51685912.doc