- 11	(18일반) 1955년 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General NANCY A. KAISER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 192083		
3			
4			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-5794		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. A1 2015 85		
12	WILLIAM KENT SMITH, AKA KENT SMITH		
13	5111 Swamps Ct. Bakersfield, CA 93312  A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Funeral Director License No. FDR 3164		
15	Respondent.		
16	Tespondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Lisa M. Moore (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as		
21	the Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, Department of Consumer Affairs. 1		
22	2. On or about August 31, 2009, the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau issued Funeral		
23	Director License Number FDR 3164 to William Kent Smith, aka Kent Smith (Respondent). The		
24	Funeral Director License expired on August 31, 2015, and has not been renewed.		
25			
26	Roard and the Roard of Funeral Directors and Embalmers, and consolidated the functions into the		
27			
28	as the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau.		

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, under the authority of the following laws.
  All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 7686 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the bureau may suspend or revoke licenses, after proper notice and hearing to the licensee, if the licensee has been found guilty by the bureau of any of the acts or omissions constituting grounds for disciplinary action. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, 1 and the bureau shall have all the powers granted therein.
- 6. Section 7692 of the Code states: "Misrepresentation or fraud in the conduct of the business or the profession of a funeral director or embalmer constitutes a ground for disciplinary action."
- 7. Section 7707 of the Code provides that "gross negligence, gross incompetence, or unprofessional conduct" in the practice of funeral directing constitutes a ground for disciplinary action.

## COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

,,,,

///

4 5

9. At all times relevant to the charges brought herein, Respondent was employed as a funeral director at Basham Funeral Care (BFC), located in Bakersfield, California. On or about January 27, 2015, Respondent resigned from BFC in lieu of termination. On or about February 16, 2015, BFC filed a complaint against Respondent with the Bureau. The complaint alleged that Respondent received cash from BFC's customers that was intended to pay for preneed funeral arrangements and merchandise. Respondent never gave the money to the funeral establishment. The Bureau's investigation revealed the following.

## Victim L.M.

- 10. On or about September 26, 2013, Respondent executed a preneed funeral agreement with L.M. for prearranged funeral services for L.M.'s grandmother, B.S., and an application for group life insurance with Great Western Insurance Company (Great Western) with B.S. as the insured. The proceeds of the insurance policy were assigned to BFC to pay BFC for the prearranged funeral services upon the insured's death pursuant to the preneed funeral agreement. The price of the policy was \$2,865. L.M. paid Respondent \$2,000 cash, leaving a balance of \$856. Respondent told L.M. that Great Western would send her something in the mail that would tell her how much the payments would be to pay off the remaining \$865.00 for the policy and where to send the money.
- 11. After waiting several months and not hearing from Great Western, she contacted Great Western directly. Great Western advised her that it did not have any record of an insurance policy for B.S. L.M. contacted Respondent. Respondent told her that she still owed \$865.00 and she could drop it off at BFC. L.M. took a check for \$856 into BFC and left it with a secretary.
- 12. Soon thereafter L.M. received a letter purportedly from Great Western stating that B.S.' policy was paid in full and that if she had any questions, she should contact Respondent. However, the letter was fraudulent. Respondent fraudulently created the letter from Great Western to hide the fact that Respondent never turned in L.M.'s agreement, insurance application, or money to BFC or to Great Western.

Victims J.R. and M.R.

Respondent.

1

7

5

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 the headstone to a larger size. 16. Respondent never turned in B.P.'s agreement or money to BFC.

On or about September 5, 2013, Respondent executed a preneed funeral agreement

14. On or about October 26, 2014, M.P. died. On or about October 27, 2014, Respondent

15. On or about December 2, 2014, B.P. requested an upgrade to the headstone because

the cemetery would not accept the original size. B.P. paid \$400.00 cash to Respondent to upgrade

with B.P. for prearranged funeral services for his wife, M.P. B.P. paid \$3,900.00 cash to

sold B.P. a headstone for M.P. B.P. paid \$1,464.00 cash to Respondent.

On or about March 14, 2011, Respondent executed a Preneed Funeral Agreement and Assignment with J.R., for prearranged funeral services for J.R., and an enrollment form for group life insurance with Homesteaders Life Company with J.R. as the insured. On or about March 14, 2011, J.R. signed and put his home address on both agreements. The Preneed Funeral Agreement and Assignment provides that the agreement will be funded by the assignment of life insurance benefits. The price of the policy was \$5,000. J.R. selected a single payment plan for the policy and paid \$5,000.00 cash to Respondent to pay for the policy in full. Respondent did not turn in J.R.'s agreements or money to BFC or to Homesteaders Life Company.

On or about March 14, 2011, Respondent executed a Preneed Funeral Agreement and Assignment with M.R., for prearranged funeral services for M.R., and an enrollment form for group life insurance with Homesteaders Life Company, with M.R. as the insured. On or about March 14, 2011, M.R. signed and put her home address on both agreements. The Preneed Funeral Agreement and Assignment provides that the agreement will be funded by the assignment of life insurance benefits. The price of the policy was \$5,000. M.R. selected a single payment plan and paid \$5,000.00 cash to Respondent to pay for the policy in full. Respondent did not turn in M.R.'s agreements or money to BFC or to Homesteaders Life Company.

///

19. On or ab	out June 8, 2011, Respondent re-wrote the preneed funeral agreements and
the life insurance en	rollment forms for J.R. and M.R. Respondent executed and forged J.R.'s and
M.R.'s signatures or	the fraudulent documents. In the fraudulent enrollment forms, J.R. and
M.R. purportedly se	lected to pay for their policies by a five year monthly installment plan, rather
than the single paym	ent plan of \$5,000 for each plan. Respondent wrote his home address on the
agreements, instead	of J.R.'s and M.R.'s home address. Respondent submitted the fraudulent
enrollment forms to	Homesteaders Life Company. Respondent paid the first few premium
payments on both po	plicies and then allowed the policies to lapse.

- 20. On or about July 14, 2011, Homesteaders Life Company sent correspondence to J.R. and M.R. about their policies; however, the correspondence was sent to Respondent's home address. The correspondence indicates that both M.R. and J.R. selected a multiple payment plan, rather than a single payment plan, and provides details about their payment plans.
- 21. On or about October 20, 2011, Respondent sent correspondence to J.R. and M.R. misrepresenting that their life policies for \$5,000.00 were created and paid for in full, that the policies cover complete burial expenses, and that \$1,527.29 would be used towards the purchase of a plot at a cemetery.

#### Victims E.C. and J.C.

- 22. On or about May 16, 2012, Respondent executed a preneed funeral agreement with E.C., for prearranged funeral services for her father, J.C. The price was \$3,267.65, to which E.C. and J.C. made payments.
- 23. On or about May 16, 2012, E.C. paid \$1,100.00 cash to Respondent. On or about June 1, 2012, J.C. paid \$400.00 cash to C. Ambriz, another employee of BFC. On or about July 4, 2012, J.C. paid \$300.00 cash to Respondent. On or between August 4, 2012, and December 4, 2012, J.C. made five more cash payments to employees of BFC. The payments totaled approximately \$3,260.00.
  - 24. Respondent never turned in the agreement or money to BFC.

///

///

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Fraud or Misrepresentation)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7686 and 7692 of the Code, in that he committed acts which constitute misrepresentation or fraud in the practice of funeral directing. The allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 24, above, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct)

26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 7686 and 7707 of the Code, in that he committed acts which constitute unprofessional conduct. The allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 24, above, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Funeral Director License Number FDR 3164, issued to William Kent Smith, aka Kent Smith;
- Ordering William Kent Smith to pay the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED Jehruny 9, 2010

LISA M. MOORE

Bureau Chief

Cemetery and Funeral Bureau Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2015603823 61744949\_3.doc

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

27